



**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

To Professor Stephen Broomhead  
Chief Executive officer for  
Warrington Borough Council  
Benefits and Exchequer Services  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor Quattro  
Buttermarket Street  
Warrington  
WA1 2NH

Our Reference: HOW-STEPHENBROOMHEAD-LIEN-0001

All correspondence will be kept on file pending any future legal action.

Dear Stephen Broomhead,

We refer to previous correspondence dated the circa 17<sup>th</sup> Day of July, 2013, where yourself and the Director of Finance Lynton Green were given visibility and made aware of criminal activity in the office of Warrington Borough Council by officers of Warrington Borough Council, which includes the criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation, where there is a claim made that MR. DAVID WARD is liable for the non-payment of council tax.

Professor Stephen Broomhead and Director of Finance Lynton Green were made aware of this by way of an extensive and detailed hard copy document of many pages, the content of which, to this day, have not been redressed. Between and prior to 17<sup>th</sup> Day of July, 2013, and to this current day, the claim being made by officers of Warrington Borough Council that MR. DAVID WARD carries an obligation to pay council tax has been shown repeatedly to be a vexatious and fraudulent claim.

The contents and details, of which are extensive and are presented here, are under this same cover.

The foundation of the claim that MR. DAVID WARD carries an obligation to pay council tax is made under the Local Government Finance Act. This Act is a recognised and known Act of Parliament, and one of many Acts and Statutes of Parliament. As this claim is made under an Act of Parliament, there is a requirement of service to be fulfilled by the claimant to present the valid material evidence that MR. DAVID WARD carries and agreed obligation or liability under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament.

We would note that no one can create law and impose that law onto another by an act of force. This would be recognised as an act of force without any formal or legal agreement, and as such, is a recognised act of terrorism. To this observation of fact, we would note that there is a mandatory requirement for a formal agreement as to what law is, and in the absence of this formal agreement of any valid material evidence to this formal agreement signed by the many, there is no material evidence that there is any such thing as law.

We would not that without this valid material evidence by way of agreement, law is a belief (or a concept in the abstract without any foundation in fact or merit).

Equally, without any valid and recognised foundation in fact that anybody carries an obligation or liability under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament, there would only be a belief (a concept in the abstract) without any foundation in reality and without any credibility or merit.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

Repeatedly, we have heard the words used that the people of this land have or carry obligations under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament. We would note that there can be no formal obligation, as an obligation stems from a formal agreement or contract where there has been offer and acceptance with considerations in both directions and full disclosure, without coercion or deception, and where there has been no full disclosure, any contract or agreement is void by default, therefore meaning that there are no obligations or liabilities under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament.

[We would note that the definition of Statute in the law books is as follows:

**STATUTE: “A legislative rule given the force of law by the consent of the governed.”**

We would note from this definition of Statute, there is a mandatory requirement that there is the consent of the governed before the legislative rule can be given force of law or acted upon. Consent, in this context, would constitute an agreement to be governed. This would require a formal agreement on and for the record, which is of material substance and not a belief.

We would note that without this formal agreement, any action undertaken by government to enforce the Acts or Statutes would also constitute an act of terrorism. The fact is that without any valid material evidence that the governed have given their formal consent to be governed, there is no valid material evidence that there is any governed, and equally, there can be no credible government with any credibility or merit, as one cannot exist in the absence of the other.

It is fallaciously maintained, however, that we elect government, and that by this method, there is a government. To this, we look for the credibility of the election process. For any contract or formal agreement, there is always a means to terminate this contract or agreement. To this effect, we would note that there is no known and formally recognised unelection process. We would also note that this word does not exist in any known dictionaries, for which we have looked. This alone invalidates the election process and voids it of any credibility or merit. The election process is extensively known across this land and is used every five years to elect officers into government. We would note that on none of these ballot sheets has there ever been a box for “None of The Above,” and when councillors and MPs are pressed as to the reason for its absence, it is quickly dismissed and never qualified in any way. This is strike two on the lack of credibility of the election process. Is there a third one? Yes, there is, it is extensively known that this process of election is by way of a secret ballot. This means that none of the secret ballot papers can be relied upon as evidence to validate the election process, nor can any of them be used to prove that any of the votes were actually counted. That is strike three of the election process, as a secret ballot carries zero credibility and is not legal and binding; therefore none of the people of this land carry any obligations or liabilities under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament.

We would note that without any material evidence that everyone in the country has legally and formally agreed to and signed (on and for the public record) the legal consent of the governed to be governed, the claim that HM Parliaments & Governments PLC is a valid government is fraudulent and vexatious in nature, and any claim to be an authority (or to have any authority) is also fraudulent and vexatious in nature.

We would also note formally that without this formal agreement that the governed have agreed to be governed by way of a formal and legal process establishing that they have given their consent to be governed in full knowledge and understanding, that any group of people (by whatever means) making the claim to be a government is nothing other than a group of thugs and terrorists creating bogus legislation as they wish and using force to enforce this bogus legislation, which is a recognised act of terrorism.

We would note that these are the facts and that we have presented this as evidence by way of a recognised tribunal, where a tribunal is also a recognised due process, and the outcome and findings at this tribunal were that the appellant (MR. DAVID

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

WARD) carries no liability to pay a penalty charge notice claimed under the Traffic Management Act 2004 by Warrington Borough Council, and this was confirmed by a declaration of no contest, which was signed by an officer of Warrington Borough Council by the name of Scott Clark. This constitutes a formal agreement and recognition of the facts that none of the circa 64.2 million people of this land carry any obligation or liability under any of the Acts and Statutes of Parliament, and any claim being made under any of the Acts and Statutes by an officer of the government in their respective office is fraudulent in nature, which is fraud by misrepresentation and a known, chargeable criminal offence.

There is a copy of this case file under this same cover within an Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which was served upon the 657 MPs of this land by email on the 20<sup>th</sup> Day of February, 2015 (see Exhibit (B) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). As this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact has not been contested or redressed by the 657 MPs in the office of the government, there is a formal and binding agreement through acquiescence that this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact is a formally agreed foundation in fact. We would recommend that Professor Stephen Broomhead and Director of Finance Lynton Green, in their respective executive positions of Warrington Borough Council, avail themselves of the contents of the formal agreement to the facts presented within.

We would note that in Exhibit (C) of the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact that it is formally recognised by none other than the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at a speech he gave at the Nottingham and Trent Law University in 2008, that the office of the judiciary is a sub-office of the state, and we would note that this has been confirmed by the esteemed Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) that a state is a corporate entity, or a company, and by this recognition to the fact that HM Parliament & Government PLC is a company with no greater authority than that of McDonald's, that any officer or judge in the officer or the sub-office of the judiciary (within this company) carries no greater authority than the janitor in the office of janitor at McDonald's.

The facts are known and have been presented and agreed to many times, and they are being presented here again under the same cover as this letter. It is an extensive read, as it is all valid material evidence.

Enclosed under this same cover.

HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1s72PIG>  
HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1rCwxyc>  
HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1T6zdjY>  
HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 <http://bit.ly/24GihTj>  
HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/24GiohE>  
HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/24Giru3>  
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1T6IISB>  
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 <http://bit.ly/1Tz8pms>  
HOW-CEO-084-0001 <http://bit.ly/1Tz8Ahq>

Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has extensively quantified a definition of what a STATE is and is not. Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has also extensively qualified what the interests of the STATE are and are not (see Exhibit (C) in the formally agreed Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which is an agreed foundation in fact).

1) *The state should not be viewed as a form of association that subsumes or subordinates all others.*



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

- 2) *The state is not an entity whose interests map closely onto the interests of the groups and individuals that fall under its authority, but has interests of its own.*
- 3) *The state is, to some extent at least, an alien power; though it is of human construction, it is not within human control.*
- 4) *The state is not there to secure peoples deepest interests, and it does not serve to unify them, reconcile them with one another, bring their competing interests into harmony, or realize any important good such as justice, freedom, or peace. While its power might be harnessed from time to time, that will serve the interests of some not the interests of all.*
- 5) *The state is thus an institution through which individuals and groups seek to exercise power (though it is not the only such institution); but it is also an institution that exercises power over individuals and groups.*
- 6) *The state is, ultimately, an abstraction, for it has no existence as a material object, is not confined to a particular space, and is not embodied in any person or collection of persons.*

Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has made it quite clear that the STATE has no interest in the appropriation of funds by illicit means, nor does it have any interest in funds at all, so it would be appropriate to note that for an officer of the STATE to engage in the appropriation of funds by any means is an act that is not in the best interests of the STATE. The STATE is not there as a vehicle for personal gain. It can be concluded that where an officer of the STATE is a confirmed criminal by their own hand, they are not only not acting in the best interests of the STATE, but they are a security risk to the national security of the STATE, as well as an enemy of the STATE by default. This is a very serious matter, and all of the officers of the STATE have an obligation to the STATE to resolve this situation.

To this end, there is a clear obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer for Warrington Borough Council, to instruct Director of Finance Lynton Green to make restoration and to return any funds collected under the Local Government Finance Act (such as council tax, which has been transferred to Warrington Borough Council by MR. DAVID WARD of 145 Slater Street, Latchford, Warrington, WA4 1DW). For Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer for Warrington Borough Council, and as the local office of the STATE) to fail in this clear obligation to the STATE, Professor Stephen Broomhead, In the position of Chief Executive Officer for Warrington Borough Council, would be in direct violation of his obligations in the office of the STATE.

We therefore recommend that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer for Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration of these funds with immediate effect by way of transfer of funds (or a cheque in the name of MR. DAVID WARD) to the address 145 Skater Street, Latchford, Warrington, WA4 1DW. It would also be appropriate to include in this calculation the 8.5% compound interest and to give a full breakdown as necessary.

We would expect this within seven (7) days of the date of this correspondence.

*“An employer is vicariously liable for the wrongful or negligent acts of his or her employee committed within the general scope of his or her employment.”*

This, however, does not negate any vicariously liabilities that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer for Warrington Borough Council, is liable for regarding the conduct and confirmed and agreed criminal offences conducted by the officers of Warrington Borough Council, extending to any additional, outside third-party companies engaged.

Where there is a known crime, there is an obligation to resolve. Enclosed under this same cover is the valid material evidence of these confirmed and agreed extensive criminal offences listed above.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

### **Opportunity to Resolve**

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Ms. Sarah Pye as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Mr. Timothy Date as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise A Smith as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise A Smith as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-LIEN-MRMD-0000001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of eighty-five million pounds (GBP).

£85,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Mr. Owens as an officer of Greenhalgh Kerr (see the enclosed HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of one hundred and thirty-five million pounds (GBP).

£135,000,000.00 GBP

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of June McAteer as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of fifteen million pounds (GBP).

£15,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of A. CALLISTER as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of one hundred and five million pounds (GBP).

£105,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of A. CALLISTER as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of seventy million pounds (GBP).

£70,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise Civil Enforcement Officer 084 as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-CEO-084-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

The total formal charges for restoration of the above confirmed and agreed criminal offences that Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable for is four hundred and eighty-five million pounds (GBP).

£485,000,000.00 GBP

Please make remedy by way of a commercial instrument or personal cheque to the above address. If this is done by personal cheque, please make the cheque in the name of David Ward.

If you, Professor Stephen Broomhead, choose not to resolve this matter and debt within the next seven (7) days from your receipt of this correspondence, we will issue a further reminder after seven (7) days, as you, Professor Stephen Broomhead, will be in default of your obligation.

If you, Professor Stephen Broomhead, choose to not resolve this default notice, we will take further legal action by raising a surety on the agreed debt by way of a security by way of a lien against the estate of Professor Stephen Broomhead, as well as any future

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

earnings of yourself, and by way of the sins of the father through to your decedents and down to the seventh generation, there may be an attachment of earnings on the earnings and pension of your grandchildren's grandchildren.

This may be viewed to be an excessive action to take as a remedy, but we bring your attention back to the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact (specifically Exhibit (F): "No Body Gets Paid"), demonstrating that there is no monetary value, therefore no harm, loss, or injury can be caused by this action; they are just numbers of no commercial significance, as there cannot be commerce without money, and there is no such thing as money, so there is no such thing as economics. A Bank of England bank note is not and never can be money. It is just a note, and on that note, there is a facsimile of the chief cashier's signature, which is conclusive of the fact that all Bank of England bank notes are material evidence of fraud.

It is not our intent to place you, Professor Stephen Broomhead, in a state of distress or to cause you any harm or loss by this legal action. Let us simply face the facts (see Exhibit (F) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). There is no such thing as money; the Bank of England note is based upon confidence, faith, and belief, where belief is a concept in the abstract, which is of no material, physical, or tangible evidence or substance. You, Professor Stephen Broomhead, are the Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, and as such, the Directors and Secretary of HM Parliament and Government PLC also have the same obligations, including that of full disclosure, to you, Professor Stephen Broomhead, in the position of Chief Executive Officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council.

There are two executive officers directly above you who have a liability to give you full disclosure and who are legally responsible for your actions. Also, Professor Stephen Broomhead, you, too, are a victim of the same crime, as David Cameron and the Secretary of State of HM Parliament and Government PLC have an obligation to you and are culpable and liable for their criminal actions.

I had an extensive conversation with my bank manager recently; this conversation was very fruitful. It was agreed, and is true to note, that a lien is an asset, a security, and a commercial instrument. My enquiry was to distinguish whether I needed a special portfolio account for the deposit of these commercial instruments; the response was that I could deposit these commercial instruments into any account, such as a current account or an ISA account, with 3.5% interest.

Professor Stephen Broomhead, we have expressed the criminal offences, and there is an obligation to resolve. We have also noted that others in your association are also complicit in the same criminal offences. He who is complicit in any criminal offences also carries the obligation to bring those also complicit in the same criminal offences to resolution.

Professor Stephen Broomhead you have a choice: the choice is to either continue committing crimes, or to stop committing crimes and make restoration for these crimes. A known criminal cannot hold public office or a position of trust. There is 650 MP's in office.

It could be said that to take this action is to destabilise the economy, but what economy would that be?

We did ask ourselves, "Are we committing Fraud?" Our response was, "Is there full disclosure?" The answer is yes, so is there an agreement between the parties as a result of that disclosure? The answer is also yes. We finally asked ourselves, "Is there any harm, loss, or injury?" The answer is no, meaning there is no fraud.



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

Are we destabilising the government? Well, without the consent of the governed on and for the record, there is no governed and no government by default (see Exhibit (H) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). Without a valid and accountable government, there is no such thing as the public office or the public purse.

Professor Stephen Broomhead, you have seven (7) days to make reparation for your obligations. Seven (7) days after that, there will be a notice of default. Seven (7) days after that, by your formal acquiescence there will be a formally agreed surety and security by way of a lien on the estate of Professor Stephen Broomhead to the seventh generation.

*Halsbury's Administrative Law 2011 confirms that administrative law is (nothing more than) an arrangement between the Executive and the Judiciary. And that the Law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and NO Act could be passed to legitimise them.*

*Halsbury's Administrative Law 2011 confirms that administrative law is (nothing more than) an arrangement (Formal agreement) between the Executive and the Judiciary (David Cameron and CEO of HM Parliaments & Governments PLC and the sub office of the Judiciary (Lord Chief Justice)). And that the Law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and NO Act (Or Statute) could be passed to legitimise them. Without the circa 64.2 million formally recognised on and for the public record signed and formally agreed consent of the governed to be governed.*

Here is a link to the video, where there was a High Court Bailiff (Peter), eight police officers, and a sergeant at my house. The High Court Bailiff was a self-employed ex-serviceman agent for Marston Group Ltd, who declared that there were no goods to levy. There are no goods for sale at my house. The claimed warrant was invalid. Officers of the judiciary do not sign orders, as that would create a reverse liability upon them. Make no mistake, all of this is known and documented. An Englishman's home is his castle (see Exhibit (G) An Englishman's home is his Castle) Formally agreed foundation in Fact. This is a formally recognised act of War. The Police and the Judiciary formally have no valid authority without the valid material evidence that the governed have given their formal legal consent to be governed.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E545q2jAgeQ>

We await your response. Silence gives consent. Silence grants a tacit and binding tacit agreement through acquiescence. So let it be said, so let it be written, so let it be done. Without ill-will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the principal legal embodiment by the title of MR. DAVID WARD.  
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Ward.  
For and on behalf of Baron David of the House of Ward.  
All rights reserved.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.







**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

To Lynton Green  
Director of Finance officer for  
Warrington Borough Council  
Benefits and Exchequer Services  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor Quattro  
Buttermarket Street  
Warrington  
WA1 2NH

Our Reference: HOW-LYNTONGREEN-LIEN-0001

All correspondence will be kept on file pending any future legal action.

Dear Lynton Green,

We refer to previous correspondence dated the circa 17<sup>th</sup> Day of July, 2013, where yourself the Director of Finance Lynton Green FOR Warrington Borough Council were given visibility and made aware of criminal activity in the office of Warrington Borough Council by officers of Warrington Borough Council, which includes the criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation, where there is a claim made that MR. DAVID WARD is liable for the non-payment of council tax.

Professor Stephen Broomhead and Director of Finance Lynton Green were made aware of this by way of an extensive and detailed hard copy document of many pages, the content of which, to this day, have not been redressed. Between and prior to 17<sup>th</sup> Day of July, 2013, and to this current day, the claim being made by officers of Warrington Borough Council that MR. DAVID WARD carries an obligation to pay council tax has been shown repeatedly to be a vexatious and fraudulent claim.

The contents and details, of which are extensive and are presented here, are under this same cover.

The foundation of the claim that MR. DAVID WARD carries an obligation to pay council tax is made under the Local Government Finance Act. This Act is a recognised and known Act of Parliament, and one of many Acts and Statutes of Parliament. As this claim is made under an Act of Parliament, there is a requirement of service to be fulfilled by the claimant to present the valid material evidence that MR. DAVID WARD carries and agreed obligation or liability under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament.

We would note that no one can create law and impose that law onto another by an act of force. This would be recognised as an act of force without any formal or legal agreement, and as such, is a recognised act of terrorism. To this observation of fact, we would note that there is a mandatory requirement for a formal agreement as to what law is, and in the absence of this formal agreement of any valid material evidence to this formal agreement signed by the many, there is no material evidence that there is any such thing as law.

We would not that without this valid material evidence by way of agreement, law is a belief (or a concept in the abstract without any foundation in fact or merit).

Equally, without any valid and recognised foundation in fact that anybody carries an obligation or liability under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament, there would only be a belief (a concept in the abstract) without any foundation in reality and without any credibility or merit.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

Repeatedly, we have heard the words used that the people of this land have or carry obligations under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament. We would note that there can be no formal obligation, as an obligation stems from a formal agreement or contract where there has been offer and acceptance with considerations in both directions and full disclosure, without coercion or deception, and where there has been no full disclosure, any contract or agreement is void by default, therefore meaning that there are no obligations or liabilities under the Act's and Statutes of Parliament.

[We would note that the definition of Statute in the law books is as follows:

**STATUTE: "A legislative rule given the force of law by the consent of the governed."**

We would note from this definition of Statute, there is a mandatory requirement that there is the consent of the governed before the legislative rule can be given force of law or acted upon. Consent, in this context, would constitute an agreement to be governed. This would require a formal agreement on and for the record, which is of material substance and not a belief.

We would note that without this formal agreement, any action undertaken by government to enforce the Acts or Statutes would also constitute an act of terrorism. The fact is that without any valid material evidence that the governed have given their formal consent to be governed, there is no valid material evidence that there is any governed, and equally, there can be no credible government with any credibility or merit, as one cannot exist in the absence of the other.

It is fallaciously maintained, however, that we elect government, and that by this method, there is a government. To this, we look for the credibility of the election process. For any contract or formal agreement, there is always a means to terminate this contract or agreement. To this effect, we would note that there is no known and formally recognised unelection process. We would also note that this word does not exist in any known dictionaries, for which we have looked. This alone invalidates the election process and voids it of any credibility or merit. The election process is extensively known across this land and is used every five years to elect officers into government. We would note that on none of these ballot sheets has there ever been a box for "None of The Above," and when councillors and MPs are pressed as to the reason for its absence, it is quickly dismissed and never qualified in any way. This is strike two on the lack of credibility of the election process. Is there a third one? Yes, there is, it is extensively known that this process of election is by way of a secret ballot. This means that none of the secret ballot papers can be relied upon as evidence to validate the election process, nor can any of them be used to prove that any of the votes were actually counted. That is strike three of the election process, as a secret ballot carries zero credibility and is not legal and binding; therefore none of the people of this land carry any obligations or liabilities under the Acts and Statutes of Parliament.

We would note that without any material evidence that everyone in the country has legally and formally agreed to and signed (on and for the public record) the legal consent of the governed to be governed, the claim that HM Parliaments & Governments PLC is a valid government is fraudulent and vexatious in nature, and any claim to be an authority (or to have any authority) is also fraudulent and vexatious in nature.

We would also note formally that without this formal agreement that the governed have agreed to be governed by way of a formal and legal process establishing that they have given their consent to be governed in full knowledge and understanding, that any group of people (by whatever means) making the claim to be a government is nothing other than a group of thugs and terrorists creating bogus legislation as they wish and using force to enforce this bogus legislation, which is a recognised act of terrorism.

We would note that these are the facts and that we have presented this as evidence by way of a recognised tribunal, where a tribunal is also a recognised due process, and the outcome and findings at this tribunal were that the appellant (MR. DAVID

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

WARD) carries no liability to pay a penalty charge notice claimed under the Traffic Management Act 2004 by Warrington Borough Council, and this was confirmed by a declaration of no contest, which was signed by an officer of Warrington Borough Council by the name of Scott Clark. This constitutes a formal agreement and recognition of the facts that none of the circa 64.2 million people of this land carry any obligation or liability under any of the Acts and Statutes of Parliament, and any claim being made under any of the Acts and Statutes by an officer of the government in their respective office is fraudulent in nature, which is fraud by misrepresentation and a known, chargeable criminal offence.

There is a copy of this case file under this same cover within an Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which was served upon the 657 MPs of this land by email on the 20<sup>th</sup> Day of February, 2015 (see Exhibit (B) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). As this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact has not been contested or redressed by the 657 MPs in the office of the government, there is a formal and binding agreement through acquiescence that this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact is a formally agreed foundation in fact. We would recommend that Professor Stephen Broomhead and Director of Finance Lynton Green, in their respective executive positions of Warrington Borough Council, avail themselves of the contents of the formal agreement to the facts presented within.

We would note that in Exhibit (C) of the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact that it is formally recognised by none other than the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at a speech he gave at the Nottingham and Trent Law University in 2008, that the office of the judiciary is a sub-office of the state, and we would note that this has been confirmed by the esteemed Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) that a state is a corporate entity, or a company, and by this recognition to the fact that HM Parliament & Government PLC is a company with no greater authority than that of McDonald's, that any officer or judge in the officer or the sub-office of the judiciary (within this company) carries no greater authority than the janitor in the office of janitor at McDonald's.

The facts are known and have been presented and agreed to many times, and they are being presented here again under the same cover as this letter. It is an extensive read, as it is all valid material evidence.

Enclosed under this same cover.

HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1s72PIG>  
HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1rCwxyc>  
HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1T6zdjY>  
HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 <http://bit.ly/24GihTj>  
HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/24GiohE>  
HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/24Giru3>  
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 <http://bit.ly/1T6IISB>  
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 <http://bit.ly/1Tz8pms>  
HOW-CEO-084-0001 <http://bit.ly/1Tz8Ahq>

Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has extensively quantified a definition of what a STATE is and is not. Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has also extensively qualified what the interests of the STATE are and are not (see Exhibit (C) in the formally agreed Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which is an agreed foundation in fact).

1) *The state should not be viewed as a form of association that subsumes or subordinates all others.*



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

- 2) *The state is not an entity whose interests map closely onto the interests of the groups and individuals that fall under its authority, but has interests of its own.*
- 3) *The state is, to some extent at least, an alien power; though it is of human construction, it is not within human control.*
- 4) *The state is not there to secure peoples deepest interests, and it does not serve to unify them, reconcile them with one another, bring their competing interests into harmony, or realize any important good such as justice, freedom, or peace. While its power might be harnessed from time to time, that will serve the interests of some not the interests of all.*
- 5) *The state is thus an institution through which individuals and groups seek to exercise power (though it is not the only such institution); but it is also an institution that exercises power over individuals and groups.*
- 6) *The state is, ultimately, an abstraction, for it has no existence as a material object, is not confined to a particular space, and is not embodied in any person or collection of persons.*

Professor Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) has made it quite clear that the STATE has no interest in the appropriation of funds by illicit means, nor does it have any interest in funds at all, so it would be appropriate to note that for an officer of the STATE to engage in the appropriation of funds by any means is an act that is not in the best interests of the STATE. The STATE is not there as a vehicle for personal gain. It can be concluded that where an officer of the STATE is a confirmed criminal by their own hand, they are not only not acting in the best interests of the STATE, but they are a security risk to the national security of the STATE, as well as an enemy of the STATE by default. This is a very serious matter, and all of the officers of the STATE have an obligation to the STATE to resolve this situation.

To this end, there is a clear obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer for Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration and to return any funds collected under the Local Government Finance Act (such as council tax, which has been transferred to Warrington Borough Council by MR. DAVID WARD of 145 Slater Street, Latchford, Warrington, WA4 1DW). For Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer for Warrington Borough Council, and as the local office of the STATE) to fail in this clear obligation to the STATE, Lynton Green, In the position of Director of Finance officer for Warrington Borough Council, would be in direct violation of his obligations in the office of the STATE.

We therefore recommend that Professor Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer for Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration of these funds with immediate effect by way of transfer of funds (or a cheque in the name of MR. DAVID WARD) to the address 145 Skater Street, Latchford, Warrington, WA4 1DW. It would also be appropriate to include in this calculation the 8.5% compound interest and to give a full breakdown as necessary.

We would expect this within seven (7) days of the date of this correspondence.

*“An employer is vicariously liable for the wrongful or negligent acts of his or her employee committed within the general scope of his or her employment.”*

This, however, does not negate any vicariously liabilities that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer for Warrington Borough Council, is clearly liable for regarding the conduct and confirmed and agreed criminal offences conducted by the officers of Warrington Borough Council, extending to any additional, outside third-party companies engaged.

Where there is a known crime, there is an obligation to resolve. Enclosed under this same cover is the valid material evidence of these confirmed and agreed extensive criminal offences listed above.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

### **Opportunity to Resolve**

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Ms. Sarah Pye as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Mr. Timothy Date as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise A Smith as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise A Smith as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of eighty-five million pounds (GBP).

£85,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Mr. Owens as an officer of Greenhalgh Kerr (see the enclosed HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of one hundred and thirty-five million pounds (GBP).

£135,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of June McAteer as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of fifteen million pounds (GBP).

£15,000,000.00 GBP

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of A. CALLISTER as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of one hundred and five million pounds (GBP).

£105,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of A. CALLISTER as an officer of HMCTS Runcorn (see the enclosed HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of seventy million pounds (GBP).

£70,000,000.00 GBP

There is a clear formal obligation for Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, to make restoration for the confirmed and agreed criminal offences of Louise Civil Enforcement Officer 084 as an officer of Warrington Borough Council (see the enclosed HOW-CEO-084-0001 document). We formally bring charges that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable to the same degree of twenty million pounds (GBP).

£20,000,000.00 GBP

The total formal charges for restoration of the above confirmed and agreed criminal offences that Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, is liable for is four hundred and eighty-five million pounds (GBP).

£485,000,000.00 GBP

Please make remedy by way of a commercial instrument or personal cheque to the above address. If this is done by personal cheque, please make the cheque in the name of David Ward.

If you, Lynton Green, choose not to resolve this matter and debt within the next seven (7) days from your receipt of this correspondence, we will issue a further reminder after seven (7) days, as you, Lynton Green, will be in default of your obligation.

If you, Lynton Green, choose to not resolve this default notice, we will take further legal action by raising a surety on the agreed debt by way of a security by way of a lien against the estate of Lynton Green, as well as any future earnings of yourself, and by way of the sins of the father through to your decedents and down to the seventh generation, there may be an attachment of earnings on the earnings and pension of your grandchildren's grandchildren.

This may be viewed to be an excessive action to take as a remedy, but we bring your attention back to the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact (specifically Exhibit (F): "No Body Gets Paid"), demonstrating that there is no monetary value, therefore no harm, loss, or injury can be caused by this action; they are just numbers of no commercial significance, as there cannot be commerce without money, and there is no such thing as money, so there is no such thing as economics. A Bank of England bank note is not and never can be money. It is a just a note, and on that note, there is a facsimile of the chief cashier's signature, which is conclusive of the fact that all Bank of England bank notes are material evidence of fraud.



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

It is not our intent to place you, Lynton Green, in a state of distress or to cause you any harm or loss by this legal action. Let us simply face the facts (see Exhibit (F) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). There is no such thing as money; the Bank of England note is based upon confidence, faith, and belief, where belief is a concept in the abstract, which is of no material, physical, or tangible evidence or substance. You, Lynton Green, are the Director of Finance officer in the office of Warrington Borough Council, and as such, the Directors and Secretary of HM Parliament and Government PLC also have the same obligations, including that of full disclosure, to you, Lynton Green, in the position of Director of Finance officer in the office in the office of Warrington Borough Council.

There are two executive officers directly above you who have a liability to give you full disclosure and who are legally responsible for your actions. Also, Lynton Green, you, too, are a victim of the same crime, as David Cameron and the Secretary of State of HM Parliament and Government PLC have an obligation to you and are culpable and liable for their criminal actions.

I had an extensive conversation with my bank manager recently; this conversation was very fruitful. It was agreed, and is true to note, that a lien is an asset, a security, and a commercial instrument. My enquiry was to distinguish whether I needed a special portfolio account for the deposit of these commercial instruments; the response was that I could deposit these commercial instruments into any account, such as a current account or an ISA account, with 3.5% interest.

Lynton Green, we have expressed the criminal offences, and there is an obligation to resolve. We have also noted that others in your association are also complicit in the same criminal offences. He who is complicit in any criminal offences also carries the obligation to bring those also complicit in the same criminal offences to resolution.

Lynton Green you have a choice: the choice is to either continue committing crimes, or to stop committing crimes and make restoration for these crimes. A known criminal cannot hold public office or a position of trust. There is 650 MP's in office.

It could be said that to take this action is to destabilise the economy, but what economy would that be?

We did ask ourselves, "Are we committing Fraud?" Our response was, "Is there full disclosure?" The answer is yes, so is there an agreement between the parties as a result of that disclosure? The answer is also yes. We finally asked ourselves, "Is there any harm, loss, or injury?" The answer is no, meaning there is no fraud.

Are we destabilising the government? Well, without the consent of the governed on and for the record, there is no governed and no government by default (see Exhibit (H) in the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact). Without a valid and accountable government, there is no such thing as the public office or the public purse.

Lynton Green, you have seven (7) days to make reparation for your obligations. Seven (7) days after that, there will be a notice of default. Seven (7) days after that, by your formal acquiescence there will be a formally agreed surety and security by way of a lien on the estate of Lynton Green to the seventh generation.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.





**House of Ward**  
**145 Slater Street**  
**Warrington**  
**County Palatine of Cheshire**  
**[WA4 1DW]**  
**02<sup>nd</sup> Day of May 2016**

*Halsbury's Administrative Law 2011 confirms that administrative law is (nothing more than) an arrangement between the Executive and the Judiciary. And that the Law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and NO Act could be passed to legitimise them.*

*Halsbury's Administrative Law 2011 confirms that administrative law is (nothing more than) an arrangement (Formal agreement) between the Executive and the Judiciary (David Cameron and CEO of HM Parliaments & Governments PLC and the sub office of the Judiciary (Lord Chief Justice)). And that the Law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and NO Act (Or Statute) could be passed to legitimise them. Without the circa 64.2 million formally recognised on and for the public record signed and formally agreed consent of the governed to be governed.*

Here is a link to the video, where there was a High Court Bailiff (Peter), eight police officers, and a sergeant at my house. The High Court Bailiff was a self-employed ex-serviceman agent for Marston Group Ltd, who declared that there were no goods to levy. There are no goods for sale at my house. The claimed warrant was invalid. Officers of the judiciary do not sign orders, as that would create a reverse liability upon them. Make no mistake, all of this is known and documented. An Englishman's home is his castle (see Exhibit (G). An Englishman's home is his Castle) Formally agreed foundation in Fact. This is a formally recognised act of War. The Police and the Judiciary formally have no valid authority without the valid material evidence that the governed have given their formal legal consent to be governed.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E545q2jAgeQ>

We await your response. Silence gives consent. Silence grants a tacit and binding tacit agreement through acquiescence.

So let it be said, so let it be written, so let it be done.

Without ill-will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the principal legal embodiment by the title of MR. DAVID WARD.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Ward.

For and on behalf of Baron David of the House of Ward.

All rights reserved.

C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.  
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.

