



**House of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
County Palatine of
Cheshire
[WA4 1DW]
03rd Day of February 2016**

Cheshire Constabulary's Chief Constable Simon Byrne
Cheshire Constabulary Headquarters
Clemonds Hey
Oakmere Road
Winsford
CW7 2UA

Dear Simon Byrne,

It is with great distress that we have found it necessary to contact you at this time.

Whilst we have no obligation to do so, we find that it is prudent to contact you in order to make you aware of some very serious criminal, and no less terroristic activity, in Cheshire.

We would bring to your attention the contents and material evidence enclosed under the same cover accumulatively 128 detailed pages, the most recent of which is a correspondence from Acting Delivery Manager from HMCTS at Runcorn Magistrates Court. We would note that this document is not signed and carries no name of a person sending the document, and it is not possible for a position or title of a position, which is by all cognitive functioning reasonable comprehension a concept in the abstract and of no material substance.

We would note that this is clear material evidence of fraud by abuse of position where, *"A person may be regarded as having abused his position even though his conduct consisted of an omission rather than an act."*

We are not unfamiliar with this practice, as it is notably used in excess to maintain anonymity. We would note that where there is wilful and demonstrated use of anonymity, there is not only zero credibility, but also zero accountability. This is not what is the causing of our distress and alarm, or our great concern. We would note that if you have not signed your contract of employment, you do not have a contract, and the contract itself is no more than a piece of paper with marks on it, and it is the signature that validates the contract. We would also note that it is not possible to process a cheque without a wet-ink signature, as it would be illegal and fraudulent to do so, which is a known criminal offence.

We would note that the use and function of a wet-ink signature is vital, as without this wet-ink signature, there is no credibility to any written document. This is a world standard for any document with any credibility. This fact is also noted under the Fraud Act 2006, Section 4, where this is given full recognition to the fact that an unsigned document is recognised as fraud by abuse of position where, *"A person may be regarded as having abused his position even though his conduct consisted of an omission rather than an act."* We would also note that a facsimile of the Mona Lisa is not the Mona Lisa, but a copy or a fake, and if it was to be considered or misrepresented as such, this would also be recognised as fraud by misrepresentation.



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



House of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
County Palatine of
Cheshire
[WA4 1DW]
03rd Day of February 2016

Equally, a facsimile or computer graphic used as a signature is equally fraud by misrepresentation, as the material evidence would confirm this to be a fact. We are an accomplished technical IT engineer with recognised credentials and years of hands-on engineering prowess as a technical professional, and we can spot a computer graphic by way of how the image ink presents itself on the page. We would also note that such a computer graphic, once stored as digital information inside of a computer, could be transferred by anybody (even the janitor) to any document of choice, and as such, any document, which contains a computer graphic as a signature, has no credibility or standing, and is material evidence of fraud by misrepresentation.

We would draw Chief Constable Simon Byrne attention to Exhibit (B) in the bundle, which is case number WI 05257F. David Ward v. Warrington Borough Council, dated the 30th Day of May, 2013. We would note that the outcome of this recognised judicial process, where the appellant (Mr. David Ward) has no liability to pay the PCN and, equally, has no liability under the Traffic Management Act 2004. This may come as a surprise to Chief Constable Simon Byrne. We would note that the findings of the independent tribunal gave full recognition to the fact that where there is no valid and material evidence of the legally signed for and on the public record, that none of the circa 64.2 million legal persons of this land carry any obligations or liabilities under any of the Acts and Statutes of Parliament, as there is no material evidence that carries any credibility that the governed have given their consent to be governed.

It may be considered that the voting process by way of a secret ballot would make this so, but if that was correct, the appellant (Mr. David Ward) would have carried a liability to pay the PCN, and would also be liable under the Traffic Management Act 2004.

As there is no material evidence of any valid agreement in the form of the consent to be governed, we would note that there is no governed. Equally, there is no formal and valid government, as one cannot exist in the absence of the other.

We would draw the Chief Constable Simon Byrne attention to the enclosed Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which has been served upon every MP in the land as of the 20th Day of February, 2015 by way of email in PDF form. (*Email list also enclosed*) We would recommend that Chief Constable Simon Byrne pay very close attention to this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, as this Affidavit has not been and cannot be challenged by any officer of the State or government, the detailed content of this Affidavit now stands as established and agreed foundation, as well as agreed fact by the government and the officers of the State.

We would bring Chief Constable Simon Byrne to Exhibit (C) The Material evidence of the FACTS. Where it has been noted by none less than the esteemed and credible Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA that the office of the Judiciary is a sub-office of the State, and it has also been confirmed by the esteemed and credible Chandran Kukathas PHD of the London School of Economics that a State is a company with no greater standing to McDonald's. It is quite clear that where there is any a claim made under an Act or Statute by an officer of the State or government, there is also a conflict of interest, as the now recognised State and private company court will always find in favour of the State, which would render any findings of the Judiciary as void and invalid. We would draw Chief Constable



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
County Palatine of
Cheshire
[WA4 1DW]
03rd Day of February 2016**

Simon Byrne's attention to this and why there are never any signed documents, court orders, or warrants to be found, as this would create a reverse liability against the private company judge.

We would note that this content and fact has been redeemed from the very same company website, and if it was not meant to be found and used as valid and presentable fact, this vital and credible evidence would not have been published in the first place. Therefore, the very presence of this vital material evidence has been wilfully and knowingly published.

It is therefore clear that none of the circa 64.2 million people of this land have any obligations or liabilities under what is no more than private company policy. We would also note that without the formally signed and legal on and for the public record that the circa 64.2 million people have formally given their consent to be governed in full knowledge and understanding, there would be a state of tyranny, where a group of people or an organisation could create legislation as they wish and use an act of force to enforce that wish. We would not that this would be a complete state of tyranny, and that act of force would be an act of terrorism.

At this point, we draw Chief Constable Simon Byrne's attention to the material evidence presented, where officers of the government (State) use, by their wish, acts of force, intimidation, and outright blatant acts of terrorism in order to extort cash from the people of this land, without the agreed and vital on and for the public record recognised, required, and legally signed in wet-ink consent of the governed. Theresa May, the home secretary, is formally on record stating that we are policed by consent. We would note that for there to be consent, it is now recognised and required that this consent be formally signed and presentable as material evidence. We would not that Chief Constable Simon Byrne would have signed such a consent form at the dentist, and that it is this SIGNED in wet-ink document, which is presentable as material evidence, that is a formal requirement before any Act or Statute can be acted upon. We would draw Chief Constable Simon Byrne's attention to the document posted through our letterbox, dated the 6th Day of November, 2015. This document was posted by some civil enforcement officer from HMCTS Runcorn. We were present at the time, but we where indisposed to answer the door. We did not like the body language and the demeanour of the officers present. They displayed agitation and aggressive behaviour, not unlike a pack of animals.

This brings us to the point of this lengthy and detailed communication. We do not expect any formal intercession from the office of the police, as we know for a fact that your company judge has no authority or capability to find against any officers of government. The published 5000 deaths in police custody is valid material evidence of this fact. It is now clear that the office of the police is superior in status to the office of the Judiciary, and as there is only the office of the legislative and the executive above the office of the judiciary, it is confirmed that the office of the police is within the executive office of the State and/or government.

It is stated numerous times that the point of this alleged arrest warrant is to make opportunity to discuss the matter in court with a judge. We would note that if we where to enter a court, then by the very act of entering the court, we have agreed, by our action, to be judged. As we have agreed by our action to be judged, we would be legally bound by that



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.



**House of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
County Palatine of
Cheshire
[WA4 1DW]
03rd Day of February 2016**

agreement, and the judge could make any judgment he liked with impunity and as he wishes. It would not be in our best interest to enter into this agreement by walking into a court.

We would make a valid observation that the Chief Constable Simon Byrne is superior to any judge or lord chief justice, and if the Chief Constable Simon Byrne wishes to discuss this already well-documented and conclusive matter to any further degree for the sake of clarity, we would not be adverse to such a meeting for the purpose of clarity at our home where there is coffee on tap.

We would note that we have gone to extensive lengths to show and confirm that there is no recognisable and valid warrant for our arrest and this material and valid material evidence is enclosed under this same cover.

We do not pay thieves and bandits. We do not tolerate terrorists of any kind. We do not hide the facts, and we do not hide. It is the recognised method of operation that there will be another visit from some more terrorists soon. This is not a threat. We do not make threats. It is a simple matter to state the following. Contrary to what you have been led to believe, there is no such thing as law. Belief is a concept in the abstract, which has no material substance or merit by definition.

Copy of this correspondence will be forwarded to the acting delivery manager in response to the document received and dated the 25th Day of February, 2016, enclosed and with our response in the interests of candour and full disclosure.

1. We would draw your attention to the following public record.
 - a. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E545q2jAgeQ> We would note here formally that the High Court Bailiff in this matter re-evaluated his options and declared no goods to levy. We do not have goods for sale at our private dwelling.
2. We would draw your attention to a recent perfected and published lien's undertaken against officers of the Government.
 - a. <https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/files/>

We await your response. Silence gives consent. Silence grants a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence Without ill-will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the principal legal embodiment by the title of MR. DAVID WARD.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Ward.

For and on behalf of Baron David of the House of Ward.

All rights reserved.



C&G. AC&G. ONC. HNC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSE. RBA. Para Legal.
Attorney at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.